2:17-cv-02170-BHH Date Filed 09/25/17 Entry Number 14-1 Page 1 of 2

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02170-RMG-MGB

Evidence

USDC CLERK, CHARLESTON, SC Affidavit of Fact- Financial Statement

2017 SEP 25 AM 9: 52

(Exercise of Constitutional I Treaty –Secured Right)

From: Nelson L. Bruce, Authorized Representative

Natural Person, In Propria Persona, Sui Juris

All Rights Reserved and Retained: U.C.C. 1-207/1-308, 1-103.6

c/o 144 Pavilion Street

Summerville, South Carolina 29483

To: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Hollings Judicial Annex 85 Broad Street, 1st Floor Charleston, SC 29401-2202

Notice of Judges and Officials' Oath- Bound Obligations and Fiduciary Duties

Article VI

"All debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation. This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding. The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several state legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, shall be bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States."

Article 1, Section X

"All debts shall be payable in gold or silver coin"

Amendment V

"No Person shall be deprived of due process of law"

I Affirm, for the Record, that I Nelson L Bruce, In Propria Persona, Sui Juris, a U.S.C. Title 15 "Natural Person", Authorized Representative, do not have, or possess, any gold or silver coins, as prescribed by United States Constitution Law, which is the lawful money to pay the restricting demands, conditionally commanded by Employees and Contractors of the Court. The said restrictions (unconstitutional) are arbitrarily (hindering Due Process) and imposed for processing these Documents, as stipulated in the United States Constitution noted above. Therefore, I submit this Writ "In Forma Pauperis," being an enjoyment and exercise of my unconditional and constitutionally - Secured Rights (and not a feudal – fee – burdened privilege) to timely and speedily enforce Due Process of Law, as noted above.

(It states nothing about motioning a court to pay a fee- This is a Constitutional Exercise of a "Right" not a "Privilege")

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02170-RMG-MGB Evidence

Your demand for a "Fee" to file a "Complaint" is used as to deny me due process of law and my right to free access to the courts. I introduced evidence in the form of an Affidavit of Fact and marked as Evidence. If someone in the courts tamper with that evidence, which is a Federal Violation, and misrepresented it as an assumption that permission must be requested to exercise my Constitutional Rights and an exercise of a right is a Constitutional Right, not a Request and this office knows that. This is a direct violation of my "Secured Constitutional / Treaty Rights which is the Supreme Law of the Land and "Stare Decisis" and a violation of your "Oath of Office." Furthermore as there is no law as prescribed in the United States Constitution stating a "Financial Statement, Financial Fee (Feudal Law)," or a "Complaint" requesting permission must be submitted with a Fee in order to exercise my Constitutional Rights, your demand is a violation of Amendment IX of the United States Constitution and a violation of your fiduciary duties.

Amendment IX

"The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people"

Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule-making or legislation, which would abrogate them. Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125:

As an Officer(s) of the Court, you and your assigns are bound (<u>or have taken</u>) a solemn Oath (<u>See Article VI</u>) to uphold and Support the Constitution for the United States Republic. Refusal of this' Affidavit of Financial Statement' is construed to deny me timely 'Due Process' and will be a <u>'Colorable Act'</u> to violate my secured exercise of a Right. Such an act and imposition is a violation of your Official Oath of office. This can result in additional lawful remedy actions filed against those violating Officers of the Court, Under <u>Title 18 and Title 42</u>, in their official and private capacities. The Law always gives a remedy for the people against <u>color of law actions</u> committed by those who violate their Oaths of Office colluding to abridge the Rights secured for the <u>Natural Beings</u> and the citizens.

I respectfully, with 'Good Faith' and with Honor, by right to unhindered Due -Process, submit this 'Affidavit of Financial Statement' and Evidence.

Melson L. Bruce, Natural Person, In Propria Persona, Sui Juris All Rights Reserved and Retained: U.C.C. 1-207/1-308, 1-103.6 c/o 144 Pavilion Street

Summerville, South Carolina 29483

"Without Prejudice"

Notary Public Signature:

Date:

Teresa M Osorio

Notary Public Print:

10|4|81

My Commission Expires:

(SEAL)